arXiv:1405.4852v3 [math.DG] 1 Dec 2015

TOEPLITZ OPERATORS AND THE ROE-HIGSON TYPE INDEX THEOREM

TATSUKI SETO

Abstract. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold. We assume M is partitioned by a hypersurface N. We define a C^*-algebra $C_w(M)$ which is slightly larger than the Higson algebra $C_h(M)$. We also construct an index class $\mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D)$ in K_1-group of the Roe algebra of M, which is a counterpart of Roe's odd index class, by using the Kasparov product. We prove Connes' pairing of Roe's cyclic one-cocycle of $\mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D)$ is equal to the Fredholm index of a Toeplitz operator on N. This is an extension of the Roe-Higson index theorem to even-dimensional partitioned manifold.

Introduction

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold. We assume M is partitioned manifold, that is, there exists a closed submanifold N of codimension one such that M is decomposed by N into two components M^+ and M^-, and we have $N = M^+ \cap M^- = \partial M^+ = \partial M^-$; see Definition 1.1. Let $S \to M$ be a Clifford bundle in the sense of [15, Definition 3.4] and D the Dirac operator of S. Denote by S_N the restriction of S to N and ν the unit normal vector field on N pointing from M^- into M^+. Then we can induce a $\mathbb{Z}_2(=\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$-graded Clifford bundle structure to S_N, where the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on S_N is defined by using the Clifford action of ν. Let D_N be the graded Dirac operator on S_N.

Let $C^*(M)$ be the Roe algebra of M. $C^*(M)$ is a non-unital C^*-algebra, which is introduced by J. Roe in [15]. In [15], Roe also defined the odd index class odd-$\mathrm{ind}(D) \in K_1(C^*(M))$. Here $K_1(C^*(M)) = \pi_0(GL_\infty(C^*(M)))$ is the 0-th homotopy group of $GL_\infty(C^*(M)) = \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty}\{u \in GL_l(C^*(M)^+)\,;\, u-1 \in M_l(C^*(M))\}$. We can show odd-$\mathrm{ind}(D) = [u_D]$ in $K_1(C^*(M))$, where u_D is the Cayley transform of D. On the other hand, we can define a KK-class $[D]_o \in KK(C_w(M), C^*(M))$ and odd-$\mathrm{ind}(D)$ is given by $[1]\hat{\otimes}[D]_o$ in $K_1(C^*(M))$; see subsection 3.1. Here, the definition for $C_w(M)$, see Definition 2.1. We note that odd-$\mathrm{ind}(D)$ vanishes for a compact M since $K_1(C^*(M)) = K_1(\mathcal{K}(L^2(S))) = 0$.

Roe also defined the cyclic one-cocycle ζ on a dense subalgebra $\mathscr{X}$ of $C^*(M)$, which is called the Roe cocycle. The Roe cocycle ζ is related to the Poincaré dual of N, $pd(N) \in H^1_c(M)$ (see [14, Section 6.1]). In fact, there exists uniquely the element in coarse cohomology $\alpha \in HX^1(M)$ such that the character map $HX^1(M) \to H^1_c(M)$ sends α to $pd(N)$. Moreover the character map $HX^1(M) \to HC^1(\mathscr{X})$ sends α to $[\zeta]$. Recall that there exists a pairing of the Roe cocycle with an element in $K_1(C^*(M))$ due to A. Connes [6]. Connes' pairing $\langle x, \zeta\rangle$ of ζ with $x \in K_1(C^*(M))$ is related to a Wiener-Hopf extension (see [12, Remark 4.14] and Section 3.3). By the above relationship of ζ and N, it is expected that Connes' pairing of ζ has some information about N. Indeed, Roe proved Connes' pairing

$\langle \text{odd-ind}(D), \zeta \rangle$ is equal to the Fredholm index of D_N^+ up to a certain constant multiple [15].

In [9], N. Higson gave a simplified proof of a variation of Roe's theorem, thus we call it the Roe-Higson index theorem in this paper. Higson calculated index$(1 - \varphi + \varphi u_D)$ as follows, where φ is a smooth function on M which is equal to the characteristic function of M^+ outside of the compact set. First, he proved index$(1-\varphi+\varphi u_D) = \text{index}(D_N^+)$ the case when $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$ by proving the dimension of the kernel of $D \pm i(2\varphi - 1)$, which is a Callias-type operator, is equal to that of $D_N^{\pm}$, respectively. Second, he reduced the proof for a general partitioned manifold M to the case when $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$.

On the other hand, index(D_N^+) is 0 for N is of odd dimension (see, for instance, [15, Proposition 11.14]). This implies that the Roe-Higson index $\langle \text{odd-ind}(D), \zeta \rangle$ is trivial when M is of even dimension. However, Connes' pairing of ζ with $x \in K_1(C^*(M))$ is non trivial in general. In this paper, we shall develop an index theorem on even dimensional partitioned manifolds analogous to the Roe-Higson index theorem. For this purpose, we replace two parts, odd-ind(D) and the Dirac operator D_N^+ by an index class $\text{Ind}(D) = [\phi]\hat{\otimes}[D]$ and a Toeplitz operator on N, respectively. Here we use $\phi \in GL_l(C_w(M))$ and $[D] \in KK(C_w(M), C^*(M))$. This index class $\text{Ind}(\phi, D)$ can be regarded as a counterpart of Roe's odd index; see subsection 3.1. It turns out Connes' pairing $\langle \text{Ind}(\phi, D), \zeta \rangle$ is equal to the Fredholm index of a Toeplitz operator on N up to a certain constant multiple. The precise statement as follows:

Main Theorem (see Theorem 2.7)**.** *Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold which is partitioned by N as previously. Let $S \to M$ be a graded Clifford bundle with the grading ϵ and D the graded Dirac operator of S. We assume $\phi \in GL_l(C_w(M))$; see Definition 2.1. Then the following formula holds:*

$$\langle \text{Ind}(\phi, D), \zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi i} \text{index}(T_{\phi|_N}).$$

Applying a topological formula of the Fredholm index for Toeplitz operators, we obtain the following:

Corollary (see Corollary 2.8)**.** *Let M be a partitioned manifold partitioned by (M^+, M^-, N). Denote by Π the characteristic function of M^+. Let $S \to M$ be a graded Clifford bundle with the grading ϵ, and denote by D the graded Dirac operator of S. We assume $\phi \in C^\infty(M; GL_l(\mathbb{C}))$ is bounded with bounded gradient and ϕ^{-1} is also bounded. Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{index}\left(\Pi(D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}\phi & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}(D+\epsilon)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S))^l \to \Pi(L^2(S))^l\right) \\ =&\langle \pi^* \text{Td}(TN \otimes \mathbb{C}) ch(S^+) \pi^* ch(\phi), [S^*N] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The idea of the proof is as follows. Firstly, we calculate the Kasparov product $[\phi]\hat{\otimes}[D]$ by using the Cuntz picture of $[D]$. Secondly, we calculate $\langle \text{Ind}(\phi, D), \zeta \rangle$ explicitly by using the Hilbert transformation and a homotopy of Fredholm operators the case for $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$. In this case, we use $\phi = 1 \otimes \psi$ for $\psi \in C^\infty(N; GL_l(\mathbb{C}))$. This case is a main part of the proof. Finally, we reduce the general case to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ by applying a similar argument in Higson [9].

Set $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$ and assume that N is of odd dimension. Let $i : N \ni x \mapsto (0, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times N$ be the inclusion map. Connes [4, 5] defined an element $i! \in KK^1(N, M)$.

In this case, the main theorem is derived from the Roe-Higson index theorem by applying $i!$ *formally*. The Dirac operator D on M defines an element $[[D]] \in KK^0(M, \mathrm{pt})$. Let take a function $\phi : M \to GL_l(\mathbb{C})$ defines $[[\phi]] \in KK^1(M, M)$. By the Kasparov product, we have

$$[[\phi]]\hat{\otimes}[[D]] \in KK^1(M, \mathrm{pt}).$$

Then we have

$$i!\hat{\otimes}([[\phi]]\hat{\otimes}[[D]]) = [[\phi|_N]]\hat{\otimes}[[D_N]] \in KK^0(N, \mathbb{C}).$$

On the other hand, the Roe-Higson index theorem implies $\langle A(x), \zeta\rangle = e(i!\hat{\otimes}x)$ for $x \in KK^1(M, \mathrm{pt})$, where $A : KK^1(M, \mathrm{pt}) \to K_1(C^*(M))$ is the assembly map. Thus we have $\langle A([[\phi]]\hat{\otimes}[[D]]), \zeta\rangle = e(i!\hat{\otimes}([[\phi]]\hat{\otimes}[[D]])) = \mathrm{index}(T_{\phi|_N})$, which is a statement of the main theorem for $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$.

This formal argument is correct if ϕ is an element in $GL_l(C_0(M))$ since the above KK groups are defined as $KK^1(M, \mathrm{pt}) = KK^1(C_0(M), \mathbb{C})$, for instance. However, if ϕ were chosen as an element in $GL_l(C_0(M))$, ϕ should take a constant value outside a compact set of M. This implies that $\phi|_N$ is homotopic to a constant function in $GL_l(C(N))$ and thus $\mathrm{index}(T_{\phi|_\phi})$ should vanish. Therefore, in order to obtain non-trivial index theorem, we have to employ a larger algebra than $C_0(M)$.

Higson [8] introduced such a C^*-algebra $C_h(M)$ that contains $C_0(M)$, which is now called the Higson algebra. It plays an important role in a K-homological proof of the Roe-Higson index theorem. The Higson algebra is defined as follows: $C_h(M)$ is the C^*-algebra generated by all smooth and bounded functions defined on M of which gradient is vanishing at infinity [8, p.26]. $C_h(M)$ contains $C_0(M)$ as an ideal and is contained in $C_w(M)$ by definition. Given $\psi \in C^\infty(N)$, we note that $\phi = 1 \otimes \psi$ does not belong to $C_h(M)$ in general. Thus the Higson algebra is not large enough to prove our main theorem. On the other hand, we have $\phi \in C_w(M)$. Moreover, $C_w(M)$ is the largest C^*-algebra A for which we can define $[D]$ as an element in $KK^0(A, C^*(M))$. They are reasons why we introduced the C^*-algebra $C_w(M)$ in our main theorem.

This paper contains more general method than that of author's previous paper [16], which proves the case when two dimension by elementary method and contains a non-trivial example.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Preliminaries 4
2. Main theorem 7
3. Suspensions and extensions 9
4. Calculation of the Kasparov product in the index class 11
5. The case for $\mathbb{R} \times N$ 17
6. The general case 23
References 25

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **Partitioned manifolds.** We firstly describe a partitioned manifold, which is a main object in our main theorem.

Definition 1.1. *Let M be an oriented complete Riemannian manifold. We assume the triple (M^+, M^-, N) satisfies the following conditions:*

- *M^+ and M^- are submanifolds of M of the same dimension as M, $\partial M^+ \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial M^- \neq \emptyset$,*
- *$M = M^+ \cup M^-$,*
- *N is a closed submanifold of M of codimension one,*
- *$N = M^+ \cap M^- = -\partial M^+ = \partial M^-$.*

Then we call (M^+, M^-, N) a partition of M. M is also called a partitioned manifold.



Figure 1. Partitioned manifold

For example, we can consider $\mathbb{R} \times N$ is partitioned by $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times N, \mathbb{R}_- \times N, \{0\} \times N)$, where set $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{t \in \mathbb{R}\,;\, t \geq 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_- = \{t \in \mathbb{R}\,;\, t \leq 0\}$.

We fix the notation of two functions which are defined by a partition.

Definition 1.2. *We assume M is partitioned by (M^+, M^-, N). Then we denote by Π the characteristic function of M^+ and set $\Lambda = 2\Pi - 1$.*

1.2. **The Roe algebra.** In this subsection we recall the definition of the Roe algebra $C^*(M)$ and describe some properties of it.

Definition 1.3. [13, p.191] *Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and $S \to M$ a Hermitian vector bundle. We denote by $L^2(S)$ the set of all L^2-sections of S and denote by $\mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$ the set of all bounded operators on $L^2(S)$. We denote by $\mathscr{X}$ the $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$ with the element has a smooth integral kernel and finite propagation. We denote by $C^*(M)$ the closure of $\mathscr{X}$. We call $C^*(M)$ the Roe algebra.*

We collect some properties of the Roe algebra which we shall need. Let $C_0(M)$ be the C^*-algebra of all continuous functions on M vanishing at infinity.

Proposition 1.4. [10, 13, 14] *Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and $S \to M$ a Hermitian vector bundle. We denote by $\mathcal{D}^*(M)$ the $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$ which contains pseudolocal operators with finite propagation, where $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$ is a pseudolocal if $[f, T] \sim 0$ for all $f \in C_0(M)$, that is, $[f, T]$ is a compact operator. Denote by $D^*(M)$ the closure of $\mathcal{D}^*(M)$. Then the following holds:*

(*i*) *$D^*(M)$ is a unital C^*-algebra.*
(*ii*) *For all $u \in C^*(M)$ and $f \in C_0(M)$, one has $uf \sim 0$ and $fu \sim 0$.*

(*iii*) $C^*(M)$ *is equal to the closure of* $\{u \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))\,;$ *finite propagation and* $uf \sim 0$ *and* $fu \sim 0$ *for all* $f \in C_0(M)\}$.
(*iv*) $C^*(M)$ *is a closed* $*$*-bisided ideal in* $D^*(M)$.
(*v*) *Let* D *be a self-adjoint first order elliptic differential operator with finite propagation acting on* S. *Then one has* $f(D) \in C^*(M)$ *for all* $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\chi(D) \in D^*(M)$ *for any chopping function* $\chi \in C(\mathbb{R}; [-1, 1])$. *Here* $\chi \in C(\mathbb{R}; [-1, 1])$ *is a chopping function if* χ *is an odd function and* $\lim_{x\to\infty} \chi(x) = 1$.

Moreover, we assume M is a partitioned manifold. Then we can get the following properties.

Proposition 1.5. *If* M *is a partitioned manifold, then the following holds:*

(*i*) *For all* $u \in C^*(M)$, *one has* $[\Pi, u] \sim 0$ *and* $[\Lambda, u] \sim 0$.
(*ii*) *For all* $u \in C^*(M)$ *and* $\varphi \in C(M)$ *satisfies* $\varphi = \Pi$ *on the complement of a compact set in* M, *one has* $[\varphi, u] \sim 0$.

Proof. Due to [13, Lemma 1.5], $[\Pi, u]$ is of trace class for all $u \in \mathscr{X}$. So (i) is proved by the definition of $C^*(M)$. Since the support of $\Pi - \varphi$ is compact, there exist $f \in C_0(M)$ such that $f(\Pi-\varphi) = (\Pi-\varphi)f = \Pi-\varphi$. Therefore, we get (ii). □

1.3. **The Roe cocycle.** We define a certain cyclic one-cocycle on $\mathscr{X}$, which is called the Roe cocycle.

Definition 1.6. *For any* $A, B \in \mathscr{X}$, *set*

$$\zeta(A, B) = \frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda[\Lambda, A][\Lambda, B]).$$

We call $\zeta : \mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ *the Roe cocycle.*

Proposition 1.7. [13, Proposition 1.6] ζ *is a cyclic one-cocycle on* $\mathscr{X}$.

In our main theorem, we would like to take the pairing of ζ with the index class in $K_1(C^*(M))$. For this purpose, we need to extend a domain of ζ.

Definition 1.8. *Let* M *be a partitioned manifold and* $S \to M$ *a Hermitian vector bundle. Then we define a subalgebra* $\mathscr{A}$ *in* $C^*(M)$ *such that one has* $u \in \mathscr{A}$ *if* $[\Lambda, u]$ *is of trace class.*

We note that $\mathscr{A}$ is a Banach algebra with norm $\|u\|_{\mathscr{A}} = \|u\| + \|[\Lambda, u]\|_1$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm and $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the trace norm.

Proposition 1.9. *Let* M *be a partitioned manifold and* $S \to M$ *a Hermitian vector bundle. Then* $\mathscr{A}$ *is dense and closed under holomorphic functional calculus in* $C^*(M)$.

Proof. Since $\mathscr{X} \subset \mathscr{A} \subset C^*(M)$, $\mathscr{A}$ is dense in $C^*(M)$. By [6, p.92 Proposition 3] and Proposition 1.5 (ii), $\mathscr{A}$ is closed under holomorphic functional calculus in $C^*(M)$. □

Remark 1.10. *Due to Definition 1.8, we can extend a domain of* ζ *to* $\mathscr{A}^+ = \mathscr{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

1.4. **Pairing of the Roe cocycle with an element in K_1 group.** For any Banach algebra A, set $A^+ = A \oplus \mathbb{C}$ the adjoining a unit. Denote by $GL_l(A)$ the set of invertible elements u in $M_l(A^+)$ such that we have $u - 1 \in M_l(A)$. Set $K_1(A) = \pi_0(GL_\infty(A))$. In this subsection, we describe Connes' pairing of the Roe cocycle with an element in $K_1(C^*(M))$.

Proposition 1.11. *Let M be a partitioned manifold and $S \to M$ be a Hermitian vector bundle. Then the inclusion $i : \mathscr{A} \to C^*(M)$ induces an isomorphism $i_* : K_1(\mathscr{A}) \cong K_1(C^*(M))$.*

Proof. Use Proposition 1.9 and [6, p.92 Proposition 3]. □

Due to Proposition 1.11, we can take the pairing of the Roe cocycle with an element in $K_1(C^*(M))$ through the isomorphism $i_* : K_1(\mathscr{A}) \cong K_1(C^*(M))$ as follows:

Definition 1.12. [6, p.109] *Define the map*

$$\langle \cdot, \zeta \rangle : K_1(C^*(M)) \to \mathbb{C}$$

by $\langle [u], \zeta \rangle = \frac{1}{8\pi i} \sum_{i,j} \zeta((u^{-1})_{ji}, u_{ij})$, where we assume $[u]$ is represented by the element of $GL_l(\mathscr{A})$ and u_{ij} is the (i,j)-component of u. We note that this is Connes' pairing of cyclic cohomology with K-theory, and $1/8\pi i$ is a constant multiple in Connes' pairing.

This pairing can be described by some Fredholm index.

Proposition 1.13. *For any $u \in GL_l(C^*(M))$, one has*

$$\langle [u], \zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi i} \mathrm{index}(\Pi u \Pi : \Pi(L^2(S))^l \to \Pi(L^2(S))^l).$$

Proof. Since both sides of this equation do not change by homotopy of $u \in GL_l(C^*(M))$, we should only show the case when $u \in GL_l(\mathscr{A})$. Then we obtain

$$8\pi i \langle [u], \zeta \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda[\Lambda, (u^{-1})_{ij}][\Lambda, u_{ji}]) = \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda[\Lambda, u^{-1}][\Lambda, u]).$$

Due to the following equality,

$$\Pi - \Pi u^{-1} \Pi u \Pi = -\Pi[\Pi, u^{-1}][\Pi, u]\Pi,$$

$\Pi - \Pi u^{-1} \Pi u \Pi$ and $\Pi - \Pi u \Pi u^{-1} \Pi$ are trace class operator on $\Pi(L^2(S))^l$. Therefore, we get

$$\mathrm{index}(\Pi u \Pi : \Pi(L^2(S))^l \to \Pi(L^2(S))^l) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi - \Pi u^{-1} \Pi u \Pi) - \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi - \Pi u \Pi u^{-1} \Pi)$$

by [6, p.88]. Thus we have

$$\mathrm{index}(\Pi u \Pi : \Pi(L^2(S))^l \to \Pi(L^2(S))^l) = -\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr}(\Lambda[\Lambda, u^{-1}][\Lambda, u]).$$

This implies

$$\langle [u], \zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi i} \mathrm{index}(\Pi u \Pi : \Pi(L^2(S))^l \to \Pi(L^2(S))^l).$$

□

1.5. **Toeplitz operators.** We recall the definition of Toeplitz operators for Dirac operators and its index theorem. The Fredholm index of a Toeplitz operator appears in our main theorem.

Definition 1.14. *Let N be a closed Riemannian manifold. Let $S_N \to N$ be a Clifford bundle* [1] *and D_N the Dirac operator of S_N. Denote by H_+ the subspace of $L^2(S_N)$ generated by non-negative eigenvectors of D_N and $P : L^2(S_N) \to H_+$ the projection.*

Let $\phi \in C(N\,; M_l(\mathbb{C}))$ be a continuous map from N to $M_l(\mathbb{C})$. Then for any $s \in H_+^l$, we define the Toeplitz operator $T_\phi : H_+^l \to H_+^l$ by $T_\phi s = P(\phi s)$.

Toeplitz operators are Fredholm when the range of ϕ is contained in the set of invertible matrices.

Proposition 1.15. [3, Lemma 2.10] *We assume ϕ is a smooth map, then $[\phi, P]$ is a pseudodifferential operator of order -1. Therefore $[\phi, P]$ is a compact operator on $L^2(S_N)^l$ for all $\phi \in C(N\,; M_l(\mathbb{C}))$. This implies a Toeplitz operator T_ϕ is a Fredholm operator for $\phi \in C(N\,; GL_l(\mathbb{C}))$.*

There exists an index theorem of Toeplitz operators. We can consider that this index theorem is a corollary of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. Let $\pi : S^*N \to N$ be the unit sphere bundle of T^*N. We denote by $\sigma(x,\xi) \in \mathrm{End}((\pi^* S_N)_{(x,\xi)})$ the principal symbol of D_N for all $(x,\xi) \in S^*N$. Then we denote by $S^+_{(x,\xi)}$ the 1-eigenspace of $\sigma(x,\xi) = ic(\xi)$. Set $S^+ = \bigcup_{(x,\xi)} S^+_{(x,\xi)}$. Then S^+ is a subbundle of $\pi^* S_N$.

Proposition 1.16. [2, Cororally 24.8][3, Theorem 4] *The Fredholm index of Toeplitz operators satisfies the following:*

$$\mathrm{index}(T_\phi) = \langle \pi^* \mathrm{Td}(TN \otimes \mathbb{C}) ch(S^+) \pi^* ch(\phi), [S^*N] \rangle.$$

2. Main theorem

2.1. **The index class.** In this subsection, we define the odd index class in $K_1(C^*(M))$. After that, we take the pairing of the Roe cocycle with this class.

In this subsection, we assume (M, g) is a complete Riemannian manifold and $S \to M$ is a graded Clifford bundle with the Clifford action c and the grading ϵ. Denote by D the graded Dirac operator of S. Set $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in M} |f(x)|$ for $f \in C(M)$ and $\|X\| = \sup_{x \in M} \sqrt{g_x(X,X)}$ for $X \in C^\infty(TM)$. We denote by $C_b(M)$ the C^*-algebra of continuous bounded functions on M.

Definition 2.1. *Define $\mathscr{W}(M)$ by the subset of $C^\infty(M)$ such that one has $f \in \mathscr{W}(M)$ if $\|f\| < +\infty$, $\|grad(f)\| < +\infty$. Define $C_w(M)$ by the closure of $\mathscr{W}(M)$ by the uniform norm on M.*

Proposition 2.2. *$\mathscr{W}(M)$ is a unital $*$-subalgebra of $C_b(M)$. Therefore $C_w(M)$ is a unital C^*-algebra.*

Proof. The proof of this proposition is a routine work. □

[1] See [15, Definition 3.4].

Remark 2.3. *Let $C_h(M)$ be the Higson algebra of M, that is, $C_h(M)$ is the C^*-algebra generated by all smooth and bounded functions defined on M with which gradient is vanishing at infinity* [8, p.26]*. By definition, one has $C_h(M) \subset C_w(M) \subset C_b(M)$.*

We assume $M = \mathbb{R}$. Then one has $\sin x \notin C_h(\mathbb{R})$ but $\sin x \in C_w(\mathbb{R})$. This implies $C_h(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq C_w(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, any $f \in \mathscr{W}(\mathbb{R})$ is a $\|f'\|$-Lipschitz function. In particular, f is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. Thus the uniform limit of Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathscr{W}(\mathbb{R})$ is also uniformly continuous. Therefore, one has $\sin(x^2) \notin C_w(\mathbb{R})$ but $\sin(x^2) \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$. This implies $C_w(\mathbb{R}) \subsetneq C_b(\mathbb{R})$.

We assume $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$ and $\phi \in C(N)$. In this case, we have $1 \otimes \phi \in C_w(M)$ but $1 \otimes \phi \notin C_h(M)$ in general. This is a merit of using $C_w(M)$ (see Section 5).

We define a Kasparov $(C_w(M), C^*(M))$-module which is made of the Dirac operator D. We assume $C^*(M)$ is a graded Hilbert $C^*(M)$-module which grading is induced by ϵ. Since $\chi_0(x) = x(1+x^2)^{-1/2}$ is a chopping function, the left composition of $F_D = D(1+D^2)^{-1/2} \in D^*(M)$ on an element of $C^*(M)$ is an odd operator on $C^*(M)$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mu : C_w(M) \to \mathbb{B}(C^*(M))$ be the left composition of the multiplication operator: $\mu(f)u = fu \in C^*(M)$ for $f \in C_w(M)$ and $u \in C^*(M)$. Then one has $[C^*(M), \mu, F_D] \in KK(C_w(M), C^*(M))$.*

Proof. Our proof is similar to the Baaj-Julg picture of Kasparov modules [1, Proposition 2.2]. Firstly, we obtain $F_D \in \mathbb{B}(C^*(M))$, since F_D is a self-adjoint bounded operator on $L^2(S)$ and $F_D u \in C^*(M)$ for any $u \in C^*(M)$. Because of $1 - F_D^2 = 1 - D^2(1+D^2)^{-1} = (1+D^2)^{-1} \in C^*(M) = \mathbb{K}(C^*(M))$ and $F_D^* = F_D$, it suffices to show $[\mu(f), F_D] \in C^*(M)$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mu(f), F_D] \\
=&\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2}[f, D(1+D^2+\lambda)^{-1}]d\lambda \\
=&\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2}(1+\lambda)(1+D^2+\lambda)^{-1}[f, D](1+D^2+\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda \\
&+\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2}D(1+D^2+\lambda)^{-1}[D, f]D(1+D^2+\lambda)^{-1}d\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

is uniformly integrable for any $f \in \mathscr{W}(M)$, since $\|(1+D^2+\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq (1+\lambda)^{-1}$ and $\|D(1+D^2+\lambda)^{-1}\| \leq (1+\lambda)^{-1/2}$ for any $\lambda \geq 0$, and $[\mu(f), D] = -c(grad(f)) \in D^*(M)$ for any $f \in \mathscr{W}(M)$. So we obtain $[\mu(f), F_D] \in C^*(M)$ for any $f \in \mathscr{W}(M)$ since $D(1+D^2+\lambda)^{-1} \in C^*(M)$ for any $\lambda \geq 0$. Thus we obtain $[\mu(f), F_D] \in C^*(M)$ for any $f \in C_w(M)$, since $\|[\mu(f), F_D]\| \leq 2\|f\|$ for any $f \in \mathscr{W}(M)$ and $\mathscr{W}(M)$ is dense in $C_w(M)$. This implies $(C^*(M), \mu, F_D)$ is a Kasparov $(C_w(M), C^*(M))$-module. □

Remark 2.5. *Set $[D] = [C^*(M), \mu, F_D]$. Let χ be a chopping function. Then one has $\chi(D) - F_D \in C^*(M)$ since $\chi - \chi_0 \in C_0(M)$. Therefore, we obtain $[D] = [C^*(M), \mu, \chi(D)]$, namely, $[D]$ is independent of the choice of a chopping function χ.*

Any $\phi \in GL_l(C_w(M))$ induces $[\phi] \in K_1(C_w(M))$. Due to the Kasparov product

$$\hat{\otimes}_{C_w(M)} : K_1(C_w(M)) \times KK(C_w(M), C^*(M)) \to K_1(C^*(M)),$$

we get the index class in $K_1(C^*(M))$ as follows.

Definition 2.6. *For any $\phi \in GL_l(C_w(M))$, set*

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D) = [\phi]\hat{\otimes}_{C_w(M)}[D] \in K_1(C^*(M)).$$

2.2. **The operator on N.** Roughly speaking, our main theorem is Connes pairing of the Roe cocycle with $\mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D) \in K_1(C^*(M))$ is calculated by the Fredholm index of a Toeplitz operator on a hypersurface N. In this subsection, we define its operator.

Let M be a partitioned manifold partitioned by (M^+, M^-, N). Let $S = S^+ \oplus S^-$, c and D are same in Subsection 2.1. Let $\nu \in C^\infty(TN)$ be the outward pointing normal unit vector field on $N = \partial M^-$.

Set $S_N = S^-|_N$. Define $c_N : C^\infty(TN) \to C^\infty(\mathrm{End}(S_N))$ by $c_N(X) = c(\nu)c(X)$. Then S_N is a Clifford bundle over N with induced metric and connection and the Clifford action c_N. Denote by D_N the Dirac operator of S_N. We denote the restriction of $\phi \in GL_l(C_w(M))$ to N by the same letter ϕ. Let T_ϕ be the Toeplitz operator with symbol ϕ. This Toeplitz operator T_ϕ is the operator on N in our main theorem.

2.3. **The index theorem.** We recall that we can take Connes' paring of the Roe cocycle with $\mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D) \in K_1(C^*(M))$. Our main theorem gives the result of its paring.

Theorem 2.7. *Let M be a partitioned manifold partitioned by (M^+, M^-, N). Let $S \to M$ be a graded Clifford bundle with the grading ϵ and D be the graded Dirac operator of S. We denote the restriction of $\phi \in GL_l(C_w(M))$ to N by the same letter ϕ. Then the following formula holds:*

$$\langle \mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D), \zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi i}\mathrm{index}(T_\phi).$$

The index theorem of Toeplitz operators (see Proposition 1.16) implies the following:

Corollary 2.8. *Let M be a partitioned manifold partitioned by (M^+, M^-, N), and Π the characteristic function of M^+. Let $S \to M$ be a graded Clifford bundle with the grading ϵ and D the graded Dirac operator of S. We assume $\phi \in C^\infty(M; GL_l(\mathbb{C}))$ satisfies $\|\phi\| < \infty$, $\|grad(\phi)\| < \infty$ and $\|\phi^{-1}\| < \infty$. Then one has*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{index}\left(\Pi(D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}\phi & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}(D+\epsilon)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))\right)\\ =&\langle \pi^*\mathrm{Td}(TN\otimes\mathbb{C})ch(S^+)\pi^*ch(\phi), [S^*N]\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 2.8 is provided in Section 5 and 6.

3. Suspensions and extensions

3.1. **A relationship with the Roe-Higson index theorem.** In this subsection, we give a formal discussion about a relationship with the Roe-Higson index theorem. Firstly, we recall the definition of Roe's odd index class odd-ind(D) [13, Definition 2.7]. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold, $S \to M$ a Clifford vector bundle, D the Dirac operator of S, and χ a chopping function. Then we have $\chi(D) \in D^*(M)$ and $q(\chi(D))$ is independent of a choice of χ, where $q : D^*(M) \to D^*(M)/C^*(M)$

is a quotient map. Moreover, we have $[q((\chi(D)+1)/2)] \in K_0(D^*(M)/C^*(M))$ since $\chi^2 - 1 \in C_0(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$. Let $\delta : K_0(D^*(M)/C^*(M)) \to K_1(C^*(M))$ be a connecting homomorphism of the six-term exact sequence in operator K-theory. Set odd-ind$(D) = \delta([q((\chi(D)+1)/2)]) \in K_1(C^*(M))$. We remark that we have odd-ind$(D) = [(D-i)(D+i)^{-1}]$ if we choose $\chi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\mathrm{Arg}\left(-\frac{x-i}{x+i}\right)$, where we assume the principal value of the argument is $(-\pi, \pi]$. We note that the map of defining the odd index class is called the assembly map $A : K^1(C_0(M)) \to K_1(C^*(M))$.

Secondly, we reconstruct this odd index in terms of KK-theory. Define $c_\cdot : \mathbb{C} \to C_w(M)$ by c_z is the constant function with valued $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we can assume $c_\cdot \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C_w(M))$ since this map is a $*$-homomorphism. On the other hand, we have $[C^*(M) \oplus C^*(M), \mu \oplus \mu, \chi(D) \oplus (-\chi(D))] \in KK^1(C_w(M), C^*(M))$ since $\chi_0(x) = x(x^2+1)^{-1/2}$ is a chopping function and $\chi - \chi_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by $[D]_o$ this KK-element. Then we obtain $c_\cdot \hat{\otimes}_{C_w(M)} [D]_o = $ odd-ind(D).

Finally, we see our Kasparov product is a counterpart of Roe's odd index. We composite the suspension isomorphism $KK(\mathbb{C}, C_w(M)) \to KK^1(\mathbb{C}, C_w(M) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}))$ and the induced homomorphism by the inclusion $C_w(M) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}) \to C_w(M) \otimes C(S^1) \to C_w(M \times S^1)$. Thus we get a homomorphism

$$\sigma : KK(\mathbb{C}, C_w(M)) \to KK^1(\mathbb{C}, C_w(M \times S^1)).$$

On the other hand, there is a homomorphism $KK^1(C_w(M), C^*(M)) \to KK^1(C_w(M), C^*(M \times S^1))$ since KK^1-group is stably isomorphic. Let D_{S^1} be a Dirac operator on S^1. This defines $[D_{S^1}] \in KK^1(C(S^1), \mathbb{C})$. By the composition of the Kasparov product $[D_{S^1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} -$ and the induced map of this $*$-homomorphism $C_w(M \times S^1) \ni f \mapsto f|_{M \times \{1\}} \otimes 1 \in C_w(M) \otimes C(S^1)$, we get a homomorphism

$$\tau : KK^1(C_w(M), C^*(M)) \to KK(C_w(M \times S^1), C^*(M \times S^1)).$$

Consequently, by using homomorphisms σ and τ, we may see our Kasparov product is a counterpart of Roe's odd index.

3.2. **Wrong way functoriality.** In this subsection, we see a correspondence between an index theorem for partitioned manifolds with shriek maps. For the simplicity, we assume $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$ with N closed. Let $i : \{\mathrm{pt}\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an inclusion map defined by $i(x) = 0$, and $p : \mathbb{R} \to \{\mathrm{pt}\}$ be a constant map. Due to Connes (see, for instance, [4, 5]), they define wrong way functoriality $i! \in KK^1(\mathbb{C}, C_0(\mathbb{R}))$, $(i \times \mathrm{id}_N)! \in KK^1(C(N), C_0(M))$ and $p! \in KK^1(C_0(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$, respectively. We note

$$(i \times \mathrm{id}_N)! \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} p! = (1_{C_N} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} i!) \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} p! = 1_{C(N)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (p \circ i)! = 1_{C(N)} \in KK^0(C(N), C(N)).$$

Let D_N be a Dirac operator on N and $D_{\mathbb{R}}$ the Dirac operator on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by a spin structure of $\mathbb{R}$. These Dirac operators define elements in K-homology, that is, they define $[D_N] \in KK^*(C(N), \mathbb{C})$ and $[D_{\mathbb{R}}] \in KK^1(C_0(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{C})$, respectively. Here, $*$ depends only on the parity of the dimension of N. Moreover, D_N and $D_{\mathbb{R}}$ define the Dirac operator D_M on $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$ satisfies $[D_M] = [D_{\mathbb{R}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} [D_N] \in KK^{*+1}(C_0(M), \mathbb{C})$.

Firstly, we assume N is of even dimension. Let $[[E]] \in KK^0(C_0(M), C_0(M))$ be a KK-element defined by a vector bundle $E \to M$ by using the inclusion map

$KK^0(\mathbb{C}, C_0(M)) \to KK^0(C_0(M), C_0(M))$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} i! \otimes_{C_0(M)} ([[E]] \otimes_{C_0(M)} [D_M]) &= [[E|_N]] \otimes_{C(N)} i! \otimes_{C_0(M)} ([D_{\mathbb{R}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} [D_N]) \\ &= [[E|_N]] \otimes_{C(N)} (i! \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} [D_{\mathbb{R}}]) \otimes_{C(N)} [D_N] \\ &= [[E|_N]] \otimes_{C(N)} (i! \otimes_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} p!) \otimes_{C(N)} [D_N] \\ &= [[E|_N]] \otimes_{C(N)} [D_N]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using the evaluation map $e : KK^0(C(N), \mathbb{C}) \to KK^0(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we have $e(i! \otimes_{C_0(M)} ([[E]] \otimes_{C_0(M)} [D_M]))$ is equal to the Fredholm index of the Dirac operator on N twisted by $E|_N$. This is a similar formula to the Roe-Higson index theorem. Combine the Roe-Higson index theorem, this implies the composition of the assembly map A with Connes' pairing of ζ is equal to $e(i! \otimes_{C_0(M)} -)$.

On the other hand, we assume N is of odd dimension. Take $\phi \in GL_l(C_0(M))$, then it defines an element $[[\phi]] \in KK^1(C_0(M), C_0(M))$ by using the inclusion map $KK^1(\mathbb{C}, C_0(M)) \to KK^1(C_0(M), C_0(M))$. The similar way to the argument of even dimension implies

$$e(i! \otimes_{C_0(M)} ([[\phi]] \otimes_{C_0(M)} [D_M])) = \mathrm{index}(T_{\phi|_N}).$$

However, since $\phi - 1$ vanishes at infinity, $\phi|_N$ is homotopic to a constant function in $GL_l(C(N))$. Therefore the right hand side is always 0.

3.3. **Connes' pairing and an extension.** In this subsection, we see a relationship between Connes' pairing of the Roe cocycle ζ with an element in $K_1(C^*(M))$ and an extension. Let M be a partitioned manifold and Π the characteristic function of M^+. Set $H = \Pi(L^2(S))$. Let $q : \mathcal{L}(H) \to \mathcal{Q}(H)$ be the quotient map to the Calkin algebra. Define $\sigma : C^*(M) \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ by $\sigma(A) = \Pi A \Pi$ and $\tau : C^*(M) \to \mathcal{Q}(H)$ by $\tau = q \circ \sigma$. Set $E = \{(A, T) \in C^*(M) \oplus \mathcal{L}(H)\,;\, \tau(A) = q(T)\}$. Then we get an extension τ of $C^*(M)$:

$$0 \to \mathcal{K}(H) \hookrightarrow E \to C^*(M) \to 0.$$

By the definition of pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{ind}} : K_1(C^*(M)) \times \mathrm{Ext}(C^*(M)) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and Proposition 1.13, we obtain $\langle [u], \zeta \rangle = \langle [u], [\tau] \rangle_{\mathrm{ind}} = \mathrm{index}(\Pi u \Pi)$ up to a certain constant multiple for any $[u] \in K_1(C^*(M))$.

Moreover, we can prove these are equal to the connecting homomorphism of this extension: $\partial : K_1(C^*(M)) \to K_0(\mathcal{K}(H)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. In fact, for any unitary $u \in U(C^*(M)) = \{u \in U(C^*(M)^+)\,;\, u - 1 \in C^*(M)\}$, denote by $v(u)$ the partial isometry part of the polar decomposition of $\sigma(u)$. Then we have $\tau(u) = q(v(u))$ since $\sigma(u)$ is an essential unitary operator on H. Therefore $(u, v(u)) \in E$ is a partial isometry lift of u. So we obtain $\partial([u]) = [\Pi - v(u)^* v(u)] - [\Pi - v(u) v(u)^*] \in K_0(\mathcal{K}(H))$. By the identification of $K_0(\mathcal{K}(H)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\partial([u]) = \mathrm{index}(v(u)) = \mathrm{index}(\sigma(u))$. Therefore, we obtain $\langle [u], \zeta \rangle = \langle [u], [\tau] \rangle_{\mathrm{ind}} = \partial([u]) = \mathrm{index}(\Pi u \Pi)$ up to a certain constant multiple.

4. Calculation of the Kasparov product in the index class

4.1. **Explicit formula of the index class.** In this subsection, we represent the index class by an element in $GL_l(C^*(M))$. For this purpose, we present $[D]$ by the Cuntz picture of $KK(C_w(M), C^*(M))$ and then we calculate Kasparov product

$[\phi]\hat{\otimes}_{C_w(M)}[D]$. Set

$$C_b^*(M) = \left\{ u + \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{bmatrix} \ ; \ u \in C^*(M), f, g \in C_b(M) \right\}.$$

Then $C_b^*(M)$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $D^*(M)$ and contains $C^*(M)$ as an ideal. Let $\chi \in C(\mathbb{R}; [-1,1])$ be a chopping function. Set $\eta(x) = (1-\chi(x)^2)^{1/2} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Then η is a positive even function and we have $\eta(D) \in C^*(M)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\iota : C_b^*(M) \to M_\infty(C_b^*(M))$ be the standard inclusion and $\mathcal{K}$ the C^*-algebra of all compact operators on a countably infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Set $\mathcal{D}_\chi = \chi(D) + \epsilon\eta(D) \in D^*(M)$,*

$$\psi_{\chi,+}(f) = \iota\left(\mathcal{D}_\chi \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_\chi \right) \text{ and } \psi_-(f) = \iota\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Then

$$(\psi_+, \psi_-) : C_w(M) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)}) \triangleright C^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{K}$$

is a prequasihomomorphism from $C_w(M)$ to $C^*(M)\otimes\mathcal{K}$ in the sense of [7, Definition 2.1] *and one has $[D] = [\psi_+, \psi_-]$ in $KK(C_w(M), C^*(M))$, where the last arrow is a standard inclusion. We note that (ψ_+, ψ_-) is a quasi-homomorphism in the sense of* [11, Definition 3.3.1]. *Here, we omit the subscript χ for the simplicity.*

Proof. We assume $C^*(M)^{op}$ is equipped with the interchanged grading of $C^*(M)$. Then $(C^*(M)^{op}, 0, \chi(D))$ is a degenerate Kasparov $(C_w(M), C^*(M))$-module. So we obtain

$$[D] = \left[C^*(M) \oplus C^*(M)^{op}, \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \chi(D) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi(D) \end{bmatrix} \right].$$

Since the following difference

$$\begin{bmatrix} \chi(D) & \epsilon\eta(D) \\ \epsilon\eta(D) & \chi(D) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \chi(D) & 0 \\ 0 & \chi(D) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon\eta(D) \\ \epsilon\eta(D) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(C^*(M)) = \mathbb{K}(C^*(M) \oplus C^*(M)^{op})$$

is an $C^*(M)$-compact operator, we obtain $[D] = [C^*(M) \oplus C^*(M)^{op}, \mu \oplus 0, G]$, where G is defined by the following odd operator:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \chi(D) & \epsilon\eta(D) \\ \epsilon\eta(D) & \chi(D) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The even grading of $C^*(M)$ is defined by the decomposition of $S^+ \oplus S^-$ and η is equal to $(1-\chi^2)^{1/2}$ by definition, so we have

$$[D] = \left[E = C^*(M) \oplus C^*(M), \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D} \\ \mathcal{D} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

under the isomorphism:

$$KK^0(C_w(M), C^*(M)) \cong KK^0(C_w(M), C^*(M)^{\mathrm{tri}}).$$

Now, we conjugate by $\mathcal{D} \oplus 1 \in \mathbb{B}(E)$. Then we obtain

$$[D] = \left[E, \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}(\mu \oplus 0)\mathcal{D} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \oplus \mu \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right].$$

Since $\left(\mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)} \oplus \mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)}, 0, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ is a degenerate module, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[D] &= \left[E, \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}(\mu \oplus 0)\mathcal{D} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \oplus \mu \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right] \oplus \left[\mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)} \oplus \mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)}, 0, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right] \\ &= \left[(C^*(M) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)})^2, \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{D}(\mu \oplus 0)\mathcal{D}) \oplus 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (0 \oplus \mu) \oplus 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)}$ is a countably generated Hilbert space over $C^*(M)$. Then we define a unitary operator $W : C^*(M) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)} \to \mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)}$ by $W(a_0, (a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = (a_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and conjugate by $W \oplus W$. So we obtain

$$[D] = \left[\mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)} \oplus \mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_- \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right].$$

We can show $\psi_+(f) \in M_\infty(C_b^*(M))$ since

$$\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} \in C^*(M).$$

Therefore,

$$(\psi_+, \psi_-) : C_w(M) \to \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H}_{C^*(M)}) \triangleright C^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{K}$$

is a prequasihomomorphism from $C_w(M)$ to $C^*(M) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and we obtain $[D] = [\psi_+, \psi_-]$. □

Remark 4.2. *By definition, one has*

$$\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} f\eta(D)^+ & [f, \chi(D)^-] \\ 0 & \eta(D)^- f \end{bmatrix} \in C^*(M)$$

for any $f \in C_w(M)$. We get another proof of $\psi_+(f) \in M_\infty(C_b^*(M))$.

The Cuntz picture of Kasparov modules suits the Kasparov product with an element in K_1-group [7, Remark 1, Theorem 3.3]. See also [11, p.60], which contains an explicit formula.

Proposition 4.3. *For any $\phi \in GL_l(C_w(M))$, one has*

$$\mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D) = \left[\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^{-1} \end{bmatrix}\right] \in K_1(C^*(M)).$$

Proof. Firstly, we obtain

$$\psi_+(\phi - 1) + 1 = j\left(\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}\right) \text{ and } \psi_-(\phi - 1) + 1 = j\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

where $j : GL_l(C_b^*(M)) \to GL_\infty(C_b^*(M))$ is the standard inclusion. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D) &= [\{\psi_+(\phi - 1) + 1\}\{\psi_-(\phi - 1) + 1\}^{-1}] \\ &= \left[\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^{-1} \end{bmatrix}\right] \in K_1(C^*(M)).\end{aligned}$$

□

The last of this subsection, we back to Connes' pairing in our main theorem.

Remark 4.4. *By Proposition 1.13, one has*

$$\langle \mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D), \zeta \rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi i}\mathrm{index}\left(\Pi\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix}\phi & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & \phi^{-1}\end{bmatrix}\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S))^l \to \Pi(L^2(S))^l\right).$$

On the other hand, $\Pi u\Pi$ *is Fredholm operator for any* $u \in GL_l(C_b^*(M))$ *since* $[f, \Pi] = 0$ *for any* $f \in C_b(M)$*. This implies*

$$\begin{aligned}-8\pi i\langle \mathrm{Ind}(\phi, D), \zeta\rangle &= \mathrm{index}\left(\Pi\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix}\phi & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}\Pi\right) + \mathrm{index}\left(\Pi\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & \phi^{-1}\end{bmatrix}\Pi\right)\\ &= \mathrm{index}\left(\Pi\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix}\phi & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}\Pi\right).\end{aligned}$$

In order to use bellow sections, we fix notation here. Set $u_{\chi,\phi} = \mathcal{D}_\chi\begin{bmatrix}\phi & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}_\chi$ and $v_{\chi,\phi} = u_{\chi,\phi} - \begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & \phi\end{bmatrix}$. Then we obtain $v_{\chi,\phi} = \mathcal{D}_\chi\begin{bmatrix}(\phi-1)\eta(D)^+ & [\phi, \chi(D)^-]\\ 0 & \eta(D)^-(\phi-1)\end{bmatrix}$.

4.2. **Another formula in the special case.** By Remark 4.4, we consider our main theorem is the coincidence of two Fredholm indices:

$$\mathrm{index}\,(\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi) = \mathrm{index}(T_\phi).$$

Both sides of this equation do not change a homotopy of ϕ. Therefore, it suffices to show the case when $\phi \in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$. We note that in this case, $\phi : M \to GL_l(\mathbb{C})$ is a smooth function such that $\|\phi\| < \infty$, $\|grad(\phi)\| < \infty$, and $\|\phi^{-1}\| < \infty$. Moreover, we also assume that ϕ satisfies $[|D|, \phi] \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$. This condition is a technical assumption in this subsection. For example, if all derivatives of ϕ are bounded, then ϕ satisfies this technical assumption. Set $\mathscr{W}_1(M) = \{f \in \mathscr{W}(M); [|D|, f] \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))\}$. In this subsection, we use $\chi_0(x) = x(1+x^2)^{-1/2}$ as a chopping function, namely, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\chi_0}$.

In order to prove our main theorem, we perturb the operator $\mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix}\phi & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}$ by a homotopy. Firstly, for any $t \in [0, 1]$, set $F_t = t + (1-t)(1+D^2)^{-1/2} \in D^*(M)$. For any $t \in (0, 1]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ set

$$f_t(x) = \frac{1}{t + (1-t)(1+x^2)^{-1/2}}.$$

Then we obtain $f_t(D) \in D^*(M)$ since $f_t - 1/t \in C_0(M)$. Thus we have $F_t^{-1} = f_t(D)$.

Secondly, because of

$$(D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}f & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}(D+\epsilon)\sigma - \begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & f\end{bmatrix}\sigma = (D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}f & -c(grad(f))^-\\ 0 & f\end{bmatrix}\sigma$$

for any $f \in M_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$ and $\sigma \in C_c^\infty(S)$, we obtain

$$\left\|(D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}f & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}(D+\epsilon)\sigma\right\|_{L^2} \le (2\|f\| + \|grad(f)\|)\|\sigma\|_{L^2}.$$

This implies

$$\rho(f) = (D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}f & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}(D+\epsilon) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$$

since $C_c^\infty(S)$ is dense in $L^2(S)$. Moreover, we obtain $\rho(f) \in C_b^*(M)$ since $(D+\epsilon)^{-1} \in C^*(M)$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} f & -c(grad(f))^- \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} \in D^*(M).$$

Finally, set $\rho_0(f) = \mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D}$ and $\rho_t(f) = F_t^{-1}\rho(f)F_t$ for any $t \in (0,1]$ and $f \in \mathscr{W}(M)$. Formally, we assume $F_0^{-1} = (1+D^2)^{1/2}$. Then we obtain $\rho_t(f) = F_t^{-1}\rho(f)F_t \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$ for any $t \in [0,1]$ and $f \in \mathscr{W}(M)$. In this case, we note that

$$\rho_0(f) = \mathcal{D}\begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\mathcal{D} \text{ and } \rho_1(f) = \rho(f).$$

This family of bounded operator $t \mapsto \rho_t(f)$ is continuous in $C_b^*(M)$ for $f \in \mathscr{W}_1(M)$.

Proposition 4.5. *For any $t \in [0,1]$ and $f \in M_l(\mathscr{W}_1(M))$, one has $\rho_t(f) \in M_l(C_b^*(M))$. Moreover, $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \rho_t(f) \in M_l(C_b^*(M)) \subset M_l(\mathcal{L}(L^2(S)))$ is continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to show the case when $l = 1$.

Firstly we show $\rho_t(f) \in C_b^*(M)$. When $t = 0, 1$, we already proved. We assume $t \in (0,1)$. Because of

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho_t(f) - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} \\ =&F_t^{-1}(D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} tf + (1-t)f(1+D^2)^{-1/2} & tc(grad(f))^- + (1-t)[f, D^-(1+D^2)^{-1/2}] \\ 0 & tf + (1-t)(1+D^2)^{-1/2}f \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

$F_t^{-1} \in D^*(M)$, $(D+\epsilon)^{-1} \in C^*(M)$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} tf + (1-t)f(1+D^2)^{-1/2} & tc(grad(f))^- + (1-t)[f, D^-(1+D^2)^{-1/2}] \\ 0 & tf + (1-t)(1+D^2)^{-1/2}f \end{bmatrix} \in D^*(M),$$

we obtain $\rho_t(f) \in C_b^*(M)$.

Next we show continuity of $t \mapsto \rho_t(f)$. F_t^{-1}, $\rho(f)$ and F_t are bounded operators for any $t \in (0,1]$, and $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto F_t \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(M))$ is continuous. Thus $t \mapsto \rho_t(f)$ is continuous on $(0,1]$. The rest of proof is continuity at $t = 0$. First, we show $\|(D+\epsilon)^{-1}F_t^{-1}\| \leq 2$ for any $t \in [0,1]$. Set

$$g_t(x) = \frac{x}{(1+x^2)(t+(1-t)(1+x^2)^{-1/2})} \text{ and } h_t(x) = \frac{1}{(1+x^2)(t+(1-t)(1+x^2)^{-1/2})}.$$

Then we have

$$|g_t(x)| = \frac{1}{t(|x|+1/|x|)+(1-t)\sqrt{1+1/x^2}} \leq \frac{1}{2t+1-t} \leq 1$$

and $|h_t(x)| \leq 1$. Thus we obtain $\|(D+\epsilon)^{-1}F_t^{-1}\| \leq 2$ since

$$(D+\epsilon)^{-1}F_t^{-1} = D(1+D^2)^{-1}F_t^{-1} + \epsilon(1+D^2)^{-1}F_t^{-1} = g_t(D) + \epsilon h_t(D).$$

Due to $\|(D+\epsilon)^{-1}F_t^{-1}\| \leq 2$, we can prove continuity at $t=0$. For any $t>0$, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_t(f)-\rho_0(f)\\
=&(D+\epsilon)^{-1}F_t^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} tf-tf(1+D^2)^{-1/2} & tc(grad(f))^- - t[f,D^-(1+D^2)^{-1/2}] \\ 0 & tf-t(1+D^2)^{-1/2}f \end{bmatrix}\\
&+\{(D+\epsilon)^{-1}F_t^{-1}-\mathcal{D}\}\begin{bmatrix} f(1+D^2)^{-1/2} & [f,D^-(1+D^2)^{-1/2}] \\ 0 & (1+D^2)^{-1/2}f \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the first term converges to 0 with the operator norm as $t\to 0$.

We show the second term converges to 0 with the operator norm as $t\to 0$. Due to

$$\mathcal{D}-(D+\epsilon)F_t = t(D+\epsilon)\{1-(1+D^2)^{-1/2}\},$$

the second term is equal to

$$t(D+\epsilon)^{-1}F_t^{-1}\{(1+D^2)^{-1/2}-1\}(1+D^2)^{1/2}\begin{bmatrix} f(1+D^2)^{-1/2} & [f,D^-(1+D^2)^{-1/2}] \\ 0 & (1+D^2)^{-1/2}f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, if $(1+D^2)^{1/2}f(1+D^2)^{-1/2}$ and $(1+D^2)^{1/2}[f,D(1+D^2)^{-1/2}]$ are bounded, the second term converges to 0 with the operator norm as $t\to 0$. We show that $(1+D^2)^{1/2}f(1+D^2)^{-1/2}$ and $(1+D^2)^{1/2}[f,D(1+D^2)^{-1/2}]$ are bounded. Because of

$$(D^2+1)^{1/2}f(D^2+1)^{-1/2} = [(D^2+1)^{1/2},f](D^2+1)^{-1/2}+f$$

and

$$(D^2+1)^{1/2}[f,D(D^2+1)^{-1/2}] = [(D^2+1)^{1/2},f]D(D^2+1)^{-1/2}+[f,D],$$

we should only show $[(D^2+1)^{1/2},f]$ is a bounded operator. Since $\alpha(x)=\sqrt{x^2+1}-|x|\in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\alpha(D)\in\mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$. This implies $[(D^2+1)^{1/2},f]$ is bounded if and only if $[|D|,f]$ is bounded. We note that the boundness of $[|D|,f]$ is required the definition of the algebra $\mathscr{W}_1(M)$. Hence $(D^2+1)^{1/2}f(D^2+1)^{-1/2}$ and $(D^2+1)^{1/2}[f,D(D^2+1)^{-1/2}]$ are bounded. Thus the second term converges to 0 as $t\to 0$. Therefore $t\mapsto\rho_t(f)$ is continuous. □

Due to Proposition 4.5,

$$\Pi\{\rho_t(\phi-1)+1\}\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S))^l \to \Pi(L^2(S))^l$$

is a continuous family of Fredholm operators for any $\phi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}_1(M))$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\langle \mathrm{Ind}(\phi,D),\zeta\rangle = -\frac{1}{8\pi i}\mathrm{index}\left(\Pi(D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}\phi & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}(D+\epsilon)\Pi\right)$$

for any $\phi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}_1(M))$.

Remark 4.6. *In the definition of ρ_t, we don't use the assumption $[|D|,f]\in\mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$. In particular, one has $\rho(f)\in C_b^*(M)$ for $f\in\mathscr{W}(M)$. Set $\varrho(\phi)=\rho(\phi-1)+1$ for any $\phi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$. Then the operator $\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi$ is Fredholm for all $\phi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$.*

5. The case for $\mathbb{R} \times N$

Let N be a closed manifold. In this section, we prove Theorem 2.7 in the case that $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$. We recall that $\mathbb{R} \times N$ is partitioned by $(\mathbb{R}_+ \times N, \mathbb{R}_- \times N, \{0\} \times N)$. Let $S_N \to N$ be a Clifford bundle, c_N the Clifford action on S_N and D_N the Dirac operator on S_N. Given $\phi \in C^\infty(N; GL_l(\mathbb{C}))$, we define the map $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{R} \times N \to GL_l(\mathbb{C})$ by $\tilde{\phi}(t, x) = \phi(x)$. We often denote $\tilde{\phi}$ by ϕ in the sequel. Note that we have $\phi \in GL_l(\mathscr{W}_1(\mathbb{R} \times N))$.

Let $p : \mathbb{R} \times N \to N$ be the projection to N. Set $S = p^*S_N \oplus p^*S_N$ and $\epsilon = 1 \oplus (-1)$. ϵ is the grading operator on S. Then we define a Clifford action $c : C^\infty(TM) \to S$ by

$$c(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, c(X) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c_N(X) \\ c_N(X) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } X \in C^\infty(TN).$$

Here $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t$ is a unit vector field on $\mathbb{R}$. Then $S \to M$ is a Clifford bundle and the Dirac operator D of S is given by

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + D_N \\ \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + D_N & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote by H_+ the subspace of $L^2(S_N)$ which is generated by non-negative eigenvectors of D_N. Also denote by H_- the orthogonal complement of H_+ in $L^2(S)$. Set $F = 2P - 1$, where P is the projection to H_+.

Due to Subsection 4.2, we should only show

$$\mathrm{index}\left(\Pi(D+\epsilon)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}(D+\epsilon)\Pi\right) = \mathrm{index}(T_\phi).$$

For this purpose, we perturb the operator $\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi$ by a homotopy. We firstly estimate the supuremum of some functions to prove a continuity of the homotopy.

Lemma 5.1. *(i) Set*

$$f_s(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 + (1-s)^2} \text{ and } g_s(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + (1-s)^2}$$

for all $s \in [0,1]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-s, s)$. Then $\sup_x |f_s(x)| \leq 2$ and $\sup_x |g_s(x)| \leq 2$ for all $s \in [0,1]$.

(ii) Set

$$\mu_{\lambda,s}(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + \{(1-s)\lambda + s\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)\}^2 + (1-s)^2}$$

and

$$\nu_{\lambda,s}(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 + \{(1-s)\lambda + s\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)\}^2 + (1-s)^2}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in [0,1)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda)$ is 1 if $\lambda \geq 0$ or -1 if $\lambda < 0$. Then one has

$$\sup_x |\mu_{\lambda,s}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{(1-s)^2(\lambda^2+1)} \text{ and } \sup_x |\nu_{\lambda,s}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2(1-s)\sqrt{\lambda^2+1}}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \in [0,1)$.

Proof. (i) For $0 \leq s \leq 1/2$, we have $|f_s(x)| \leq f_s(1-s) \leq 1$. For $1/2 \leq s \leq 1$, we have $|f_s(x)| \leq f_s(s) \leq 2$. This implies $\sup_x |f_s(x)| \leq 2$. On the other hand, we have $|g_s(x)| \leq g_s(s) \leq 2$.

(ii) For $\lambda \geq 0$, we have $(1-s)\lambda + s\mathrm{ssgn}(\lambda) \geq (1-s)\lambda > 0$. On the other hand, for $\lambda < 0$, we have $(1-s)\lambda + s\mathrm{ssgn}(\lambda) \leq (1-s)\lambda < 0$. So we obtain $|\mu_{\lambda,s}(x)| \leq h_{\lambda,s}(0) \leq 1/(1-s)^2(\lambda^2+1)$.

On the other hand, we obtain

$$|\nu_{\lambda,s}(x)| \leq \nu_{\lambda,s}\left(\sqrt{\{(1-s)\lambda + s\mathrm{ssgn}(\lambda)\}^2 + (1-s)^2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2(1-s)\sqrt{\lambda^2+1}}.$$

□

Proposition 5.2. *Set*

$$D_s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + (1-s)D_N + sF \\ \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + (1-s)D_N + sF & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $s \in [0,1]$ *and*

$$u_{\phi,s} = (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon).$$

Then the map $[0,1] \ni s \mapsto u_{\phi,s} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S)^l)$ *is continuous.*

Proof. It suffices to show the case when $l = 1$. Since $(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t)^* = -\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t$ and D_N is a Dirac operator on N, D_s is a self-adjoint closed operator densely defined on $domain(D_s) = domain(D)$.

Next we show $\sigma(D_s) \cap (-s, s) = \emptyset$ for all $s \in (0,1]$. Set

$$T_s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + (1-s)D_N \\ \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + (1-s)D_N & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F \\ F & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then T_s and J are self-adjoint, and we have $D_s = T_s + sJ$ and $T_sJ + JT_s = 2(1-s)D_NF \geq 0$ on $domain(D)$. So for any $\sigma \in domain(D)$, we obtain

$$\|D_s\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 = \|T_s\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 + s^2\|J\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 + s\langle (T_sJ + JT_s)\sigma, \sigma\rangle_{L^2} \geq s^2\|J\sigma\|_{L^2}^2 = s^2\|\sigma\|_{L^2}^2.$$

This implies $\sigma(D_s) \cap (-s, s) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, D_1 has a bounded inverse.

On the other hand, when $s \in [0,1)$, we have $(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$ since $(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^2 = D_s^2 + (1-s)^2$ is invertible. Therefore $u_{\phi,s}$ is well-defined as a closed operator on $L^2(S)$ with $domain(u_{\phi,s}) = domain(D)$ for all $s \in [0,1]$. Thus we obtain $u_{\phi,s} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$ since

$$u_{\phi,s} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{bmatrix} + (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (1-s)(\phi - 1) & -(1-s)c_N(grad(\phi)) + s[\phi, F] \\ 0 & (1-s)(\phi - 1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next we show continuity of $[0,1] \ni s \mapsto u_{\phi,s} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(S))$. First, because of

$$(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} = f_s(D_s) + (1-s)\epsilon g_s(D_s),$$

we have

$$(*) \qquad \|(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}\| \leq \sup_x |f_s(x)| + (1-s)\sup_x |g_s(x)| \leq 4$$

by Lemma 5.1. Therefore $\{\|(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}\|\}_{s\in[0,1]}$ is a bounded set.

Next, for any $s, s' \in [0,1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_{\phi,s} - u_{\phi,s'} \\
=&(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (s'-s)(\phi-1) & (s-s')c_N(grad(\phi)) + (s-s')[\phi,F] \\ 0 & (s'-s)(\phi-1) \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \{(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} - (D_{s'} + (1-s')\epsilon)^{-1}\} \begin{bmatrix} (1-s')(\phi-1) & -(1-s')c_N(grad(\phi)) + s'[\phi,F] \\ 0 & (1-s')(\phi-1) \end{bmatrix} \\
=:& \alpha_{s,s'} + \beta_{s,s'}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the first term $\alpha_{s,s'}$ converges to 0 with operator norm as $s \to s'$.

The rest of proof is the second term $\beta_{s,s'}$ converges to 0. Firstly, we assume $s' = 1$. Under this assumption, we obtain

$$\beta_{s,1} = \{(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} - D_1^{-1}\} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & [\phi,F] \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} - D_1^{-1} \\
=& (s-1)(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} D_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D_N \\ D_N & 0 \end{bmatrix} + (1-s)(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}(J-\epsilon)D_1^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

since D_N commutes F and $\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t$ on $domain(D)$, respectively. Therefore, the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{s,1} = &(s-1)(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} D_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_N[\phi,F] \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ (1-s)(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}(J-\epsilon)D_1^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & [\phi,F] \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

converges to 0 with the operator norm as $s \to 1$ since $D_N[\phi,F]$ is an operator of order zero on N and $\|(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}\|$, $\|J\|$, $\|\epsilon\|$ and $\|D_1^{-1}\|$ are uniformly bounded.

We assume $0 \leq s' < 1$. Since the operator norm of

$$\begin{bmatrix} (1-s')(\phi-1) & -(1-s')c_N(grad(\phi)) + s'[\phi,F] \\ 0 & (1-s')(\phi-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

is bounded, it suffices to show

$$\|(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} - (D_{s'} + (1-s')\epsilon)^{-1}\| \to 0$$

as $s \to s'$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} - (D_{s'} + (1-s')\epsilon)^{-1} \\
=&(s-s')(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D_N \\ D_N & 0 \end{bmatrix} (D_{s'} + (1-s')\epsilon)^{-1} \\
&+ (s'-s)(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}(J-\epsilon)(D_{s'} + (1-s')\epsilon)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

and the second term converges to 0 with the operator norm as $s \to s'$ since $(*)$. So it suffices to show

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D_N \\ D_N & 0 \end{bmatrix} (D_{s'} + (1-s')\epsilon)^{-1}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} D_N A_{s'}^{-1}(\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + (1-s')D_N + s'F) & -(1-s')D_N A_{s'}^{-1} \\ (1-s')D_N A_{s'}^{-1} & D_N A_{s'}^{-1}(-\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + (1-s')D_N + s'F) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a bounded operator on $L^2(S) = L^2(\mathbb{R})^2 \otimes L^2(S_N)$, where set

$$A_{s'} = -\mathrm{d}^2/\mathrm{d}t^2 + \{(1-s')D_N + s'F\}^2 + (1-s')^2.$$

Now, if $D_N A_{s'}^{-1}$, $iD_N A_{s'}^{-1}\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t$ and $D_N A_{s'}^{-1} D_N$ are bounded, then U is also bounded. We show $D_N A_{s'}^{-1} D_N$ is bounded. Denote by E_λ the λ-eigenspace of D_N. Then $D_N A_{s'}^{-1} D_N$ acts as

$$\lambda^2\{-\mathrm{d}^2/\mathrm{d}t^2 + ((1-s')\lambda + s'\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda))^2 + (1-s')^2\}^{-1}$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes E_\lambda$. This operator equals to $\lambda^2 \mu_{\lambda,s'}(i\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t)$ and we have $\|\lambda^2 \mu_{\lambda,s'}(i\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t)\| \leq 1/(1-s')^2$ by Lemma 5.1. Therefore we obtain $\|D_N A_{s'}^{-1} D_N\| \leq 1/(1-s')^2$. Similarly, we can show $\|D_N A_{s'}^{-1}\| \leq 1/(1-s')^2$ (use $\mu_{\lambda,s'}$) and $\|iD_N A_{s'}^{-1}\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t\| \leq 1/2(1-s')$ (use $\nu_{\lambda,s'}$). Thus U is bounded. Therefore we obtain

$$\|(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} - (D_{s'} + (1-s')\epsilon)^{-1}\| \to 0$$

as $s \to s'$ as required.

□

By this proposition, $\Pi u_{\phi,s}\Pi$ is a continuous path in $\mathcal{L}(\Pi(L^2(S))^l)$. In fact, this continuous path is a desired homotopy of Fredholm operators.

Proposition 5.3. *Set*

$$v_{\phi,s} = u_{\phi,s} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $s \in [0,1]$. *One has* $[\Pi, v_{\phi,s}] \sim 0$. *Therefore* $\Pi u_{\phi,s}\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))$ *is a Fredholm operator.*

Proof. It suffices to show the case when $l = 1$. Due to Proposition 5.2 and closedness of $\mathcal{K}(L^2(S))$, we should only show for $s \in [0,1)$.

First, we show $g(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \sim 0$ for any $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, it suffices to show the case when $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Because T_s (see in the proof of Proposition 5.2) is a first order elliptic differential operator and g commutes with a operator on N, we have

$$\|g(D_s+(1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}u\|_{H^1} \leq C(\|g(D_s+(1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}u\|_{L^2} + \|T_s g(D_s+(1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}u\| \leq C'\|u\|_{L^2}$$

for any $u \in L^2(S)$. Here, $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ is a first Sobolev norm on a compact set $\mathrm{Supp}(g) \times N$ and $C' > 0$ depends only on $\|g\|$ and $\|g'\|$. By the Rellich lemma, we have $g(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \sim 0$.

Second, we show $[\varphi, (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}] \sim 0$ for any $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\varphi = \Pi$ on the complement of a compact set in M. Since φ commutes with a operator on N, we have

$$[\varphi, (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}] = (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\varphi' \\ \varphi' & 0 \end{bmatrix} (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \sim 0.$$

By a similar proof in the proof of Proposition 1.5 (ii), we have $[\Pi, (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}] \sim 0$. Therefor, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi v_{\phi,s} &= \Pi(D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (1-s)(\phi-1) & -(1-s)c_N(grad(\phi)) + s[\phi, F] \\ 0 & (1-s)(\phi-1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\sim (D_s + (1-s)\epsilon)^{-1}\Pi \begin{bmatrix} (1-s)(\phi-1) & -(1-s)c_N(grad(\phi)) + s[\phi, F] \\ 0 & (1-s)(\phi-1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= v_{\phi,s}\Pi.\end{aligned}$$

This implies $\Pi u_{\phi,s}\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))$ is a Fredholm operator.

□

Due to Proposition 5.2 and 5.3,

$$\mathrm{index}(\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S)))$$

is equal to $\mathrm{index}(\Pi u_{\phi,1}\Pi)$. Let $H : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the Hilbert transformation:

$$Hf(t) = \frac{i}{\pi}\text{p.v.}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{t-y}dy.$$

Then the eigenvalues of H are only 1 and -1 since $H^2 = 1$ and $H \neq \pm 1$. Let $\mathscr{H}_-$ be the (-1)-eigenspace of H and $\hat{P} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathscr{H}_-$ the projection to $\mathscr{H}_-$.

Proposition 5.4. *Set $\mathscr{T}_\phi = (-it + F)^{-1}\phi(-it + F)$. Then $\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*$ is a Fredholm operator and one has*

$$\mathrm{index}(\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))) = \mathrm{index}(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^* : X \to X),$$

where $X = \mathscr{H}_- \otimes L^2(S_N)$.

Proof. Due to Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{index}(\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))) \\ =&\mathrm{index}(\Pi u_{\phi,0}\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))) \\ =&\mathrm{index}(\Pi u_{\phi,1}\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))).\end{aligned}$$

Because of

$$\begin{aligned}u_{\phi,1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + F)^{-1} \\ (-\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + F)^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + F \\ \mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + F & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (-\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + F)^{-1}\phi(-\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + F) \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{index}(\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))) \\ =&\mathrm{index}(\Pi(-\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + F)^{-1}\phi(-\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t + F)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \otimes L^2(S_N) \to \Pi(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \otimes L^2(S_N)).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathscr{F} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the Fourier transformation:

$$\mathscr{F}[f](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi}f(x)dx.$$

Then, we have $\mathscr{F}\Pi\mathscr{F}^{-1} = (1-H)/2 = \hat{P}$ and $\mathscr{F}\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t\mathscr{F}^{-1} = it$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{index}(\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(S)) \to \Pi(L^2(S))) \\
=&\mathrm{index}(\Pi(-\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t+F)^{-1}\phi(-\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}t+F)\Pi : \Pi(L^2(\mathbb{R}))\otimes L^2(S_N) \to \Pi(L^2(\mathbb{R}))\otimes L^2(S_N)) \\
=&\mathrm{index}(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^* : X \to X).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Thus it suffices to calculate $\mathrm{index}(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*)$ in order to prove the main theorem. For this purpose, we use eigenfunctions of the Hilbert transformation.

Lemma 5.5. [17, Theorem 1] *Define* $a_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *by*

$$a_n(t) = \frac{(t-i)^n}{(t+i)^{n+1}}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Then* $\{a_n/\sqrt{\pi}\}$ *is an orthonormal basis of* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and*

$$Ha_n = \begin{cases} a_n & \text{if } n < 0 \\ -a_n & \text{if } n \geq 0 \end{cases}.$$

This implies $\mathscr{H}_- = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$.

Proposition 5.6. *One has* $\mathrm{index}(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*) = \mathrm{index}(T_\phi)$. *Therefore Theorem 2.7 for the case when* $M = \mathbb{R}\times N$ *is true.*

Proof. Set $X_0 = \mathbb{C}\{a_0\}\otimes H_+$ and $X_1 = (\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a_n\}_{n\geq 1}\otimes H_+)\oplus(\mathscr{H}_-\otimes H_-)$. We note that $X_0\oplus X_1 = \mathscr{H}_-\otimes L^2(S_N) = X$. Let $p : \mathscr{H}_- \to \mathbb{C}\{a_0\}$ be the projection to $\mathbb{C}\{a_0\}$. Then $p_0 = p\otimes P : X \to X_0$ is the projection to X_0 and $p_1 = \mathrm{id}_X - p_0 : X \to X_1$ is the projection to X_1.

By the decomposition of $L^2(S_N) = H_+\oplus H_-$, we have

$$\mathscr{T}_\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\otimes P\phi P^* & \frac{t-i}{t+i}\otimes P\phi(1-P)^* \\ \frac{t+i}{t-i}\otimes(1-P)\phi P^* & \mathrm{id}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\otimes(1-P)\phi(1-P)^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

So we obtain

$$\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_0^* = p^*\otimes P\phi P^* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}\{a_0\}}\otimes T_\phi$$

and

$$\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_1^* = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{P}-p)^*\otimes P\phi P^* & \frac{t-i}{t+i}\hat{P}^*\otimes P\phi(1-P)^* \\ \frac{t+i}{t-i}(\hat{P}-p)^*\otimes(1-P)\phi P^* & \hat{P}^*\otimes(1-P)\phi(1-P)^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_0^*)\subset X_0$, $\mathrm{Im}(\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_1^*)\subset X_1$ and

$$(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_{\phi^{-1}}\hat{P}^*p_1^*)(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_1^*) = \hat{P}\mathscr{T}_{\phi^{-1}}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_1^* = \mathrm{id}_{X_1}.$$

So $\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*$ forms a direct sum of an invertible part $\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_1^*$ and another part $\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_0^*$:

$$\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_0^* & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_1^* \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } X_0\oplus X_1.$$

This proves $\mathrm{index}(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*) = \mathrm{index}(\hat{P}\mathscr{T}_\phi\hat{P}^*p_0^*) = \mathrm{index}(T_\phi)$. □

We note that we also get

$$\mathrm{index}\,(\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi) = \mathrm{index}(T_\phi).$$

6. The general case

In this section we reduce the proof for the general partitioned manifold to that of $\mathbb{R}\times N$. Our argument is similar to Higson's argument in [9]. By above sections, we should only show the case when $\phi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$. Firstly, we shall show a cobordism invariance. See also [9, Lemma 1.4].

Lemma 6.1. *Let (M^+,M^-,N) and $(M^{+\prime},M^{-\prime},N')$ be two partitions of M. We assume these two partitions are cobordant, that is, symmetric differences $M^{\pm}\triangle M^{\mp\prime}$ are compact. Let Π and Π' be the characteristic function of M^+ and $M^{+\prime}$, respectively. We assume $\phi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$. Then one has* $\operatorname{index}(\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi)=\operatorname{index}(\Pi' u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi')$ *and* $\operatorname{index}(\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi)=\operatorname{index}(\Pi'\varrho(\phi)\Pi')$.

Proof. It suffices to show the case when $l=1$. Since we have $[\phi,\Pi]=0$ and $[u_{\chi,\phi},\Pi]\sim 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{index}(\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi:\Pi(L^2(S))\to\Pi(L^2(S)))\\
=&\operatorname{index}\left((1-\Pi)\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&\phi\end{bmatrix}+\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi:L^2(S)\to L^2(S)\right)\\
=&\operatorname{index}\left((1-\Pi)\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&\phi\end{bmatrix}+\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}:L^2(S)\to L^2(S)\right)\\
=&\operatorname{index}\left(\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&\phi\end{bmatrix}+\Pi v_{\chi,\phi}:L^2(S)\to L^2(S)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show $\Pi v_{\chi,\phi}\sim\Pi' v_{\chi,\phi}$. Now, since $M^{\pm}\triangle M^{\mp\prime}$ are compact, there exists $f\in C_0(M)$ satisfies $\Pi-\Pi'=(\Pi-\Pi')f$. So we obtain $\Pi v_{\chi,\phi}-\Pi' v_{\chi,\phi}=(\Pi-\Pi')fv_{\chi,\phi}\sim 0$. By the similar argument, we can prove $\operatorname{index}(\Pi\varrho(\phi)\Pi)=\operatorname{index}(\Pi'\varrho(\phi)\Pi')$. □

Secondly, we shall prove an analogue of Higson's Lemma [9, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 6.2. *Let M_1 and M_2 be two partitioned manifolds and $S_j\to M_j$ a Hermitian vector bundle. Let Π_j be the characteristic function of M_j^+. We assume that there exist an isometry $\gamma:M_2^+\to M_1^+$ which lifts isomorphism $\gamma^*:S_1|_{M_1^+}\to S_2|_{M_2^+}$. We denote the Hilbert space isometry defined by γ^* by the same letter $\gamma^*:\Pi_1(L^2(S_1))\to\Pi_2(L^2(S_2))$. We assume $u_j\in GL_l(C_b^*(M_j))$ and $\gamma^*u_1\Pi_1\sim\Pi_2u_2\gamma^*$. Then* $\operatorname{index}(\Pi_1u_1\Pi_1)=\operatorname{index}(\Pi_2u_2\Pi_2)$.

Similarly, if there exists an isometry $\gamma:M_2^-\to M_1^-$ which lifts an isomorphism $\gamma^*:S_1|_{M_1^-}\to S_2|_{M_2^-}$ and $\gamma^*u_1\Pi_1\sim\Pi_2u_2\gamma^*$, then one has $\operatorname{index}(\Pi_1u_1\Pi_1)=\operatorname{index}(\Pi_2u_2\Pi_2)$.

Proof. It suffices to show the case when $l=1$. Let $v:(1-\Pi_1)(L^2(S_1))\to(1-\Pi_2)(L^2(S_2))$ be any invertible operator. Then $V=\gamma^*\Pi_1+v(1-\Pi_1):L^2(S_1)\to L^2(S_2)$ is also invertible operator. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&V((1-\Pi_1)+\Pi_1u_1\Pi_1)-((1-\Pi_2)+\Pi_2u_2\Pi_2)V\\
=&-\gamma^*\Pi_1+\Pi_2\gamma^*+\gamma^*\Pi_1u_1\Pi_1-\Pi_2u_2\Pi_2\gamma^*\\
\sim&\gamma^*u_1\Pi_1-\Pi_2u_2\gamma^*\sim 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $\operatorname{index}(\Pi_1u_1\Pi_1)=\operatorname{index}(\Pi_2u_2\Pi_2)$ since V is an invertible operator and $\operatorname{index}(\Pi_ju_j\Pi_j)=\operatorname{index}((1-\Pi_j)+\Pi_ju_j\Pi_j)$ for $j=1,2$. □

Applying Lemma 6.2, we prove the following:

Corollary 6.3. *Let M_1 and M_2 be two partitioned manifolds. Let $S_j \to M_j$ be a graded Clifford bundle with the grading ϵ_j, and D_j the graded Dirac operator of S_j. We assume that there exists an isometry $\gamma : M_2^+ \to M_1^+$ which lifts isomorphism $\gamma^* : S_1|_{M_1^+} \to S_2|_{M_2^+}$ of graded Clifford structure. Moreover, we assume that $\phi_j \in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$ satisfies $\phi_1(\gamma(x)) = \phi_2(x)$ for all $x \in M_2^+$. Then one has* $\mathrm{index}(\Pi_1 u_{\chi,\phi_1}\Pi_1) = \mathrm{index}(\Pi_2 u_{\chi,\phi_2}\Pi_2)$.

Proof. Fix small $R > 0$. It suffices to show $\gamma^* u_{\chi,\phi_1}\Pi_1 \sim \Pi_2 u_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^*$ the case when chopping function $\chi \in C(\mathbb{R}; [-1,1])$ satisfies $\mathrm{Supp}(\hat{\chi}) \subset (-R, R)$. Set $N_{2R} = \{x \in M_1^+;\, d(x, N_1) \leq 2R\}$. Let φ_1 be a smooth function on M_1 such that $\mathrm{Supp}(\varphi_1) \subset M_1^+ \backslash N_{2R}$ and there exists a compact set $K \subset M_1$ such that $\varphi_1 = \Pi_1$ on $M_1 \backslash K$. Set $\varphi_2(x) = \varphi_1(\gamma(x))$ for all $x \in M_2^+$ and $\varphi_2 = 0$ on M_2^-. Then we have $\gamma^* v_{\chi,\phi_1}\Pi_1 \sim \gamma^* v_{\chi,\phi_1}\varphi_1$ and $\Pi_2 v_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^* \sim \varphi_2 v_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^*$. Thus, if $\gamma^* v_{\chi,\phi_1}\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2 v_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^*$, then we obtain

$$\gamma^* u_{\chi,\phi_1}\Pi_1 \sim \gamma^* v_{\chi,\phi_1}\varphi_1 + \gamma^* \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1 \end{bmatrix} \Pi_1 \sim \varphi_2 v_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^* + \Pi_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} \gamma^* \sim \Pi_2 u_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^*.$$

We shall show $\gamma^* v_{\chi,\phi_1}\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2 v_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^*$. Now, we have $\gamma^* v_{\chi,\phi_1}\varphi_1 = v_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^*\varphi_1$ since the propagation of $\chi(D)$ and $\eta(D)$ is less than R, respectively, and $\gamma^* D = D\gamma^*$ on M^+. Moreover, $[v_{\chi,\phi_2}, \varphi_2] \sim 0$ since $v_{\chi,\phi_2} \in M_l(C^*(M))$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\gamma^* v_{\chi,\phi_1}\varphi_1 = v_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^*\varphi_1 = v_{\chi,\phi_2}\varphi_2\gamma^* \sim \varphi_2 v_{\chi,\phi_2}\gamma^*.$$

□

In order to prove Corollary 2.8, we apply Lemma 6.2 as follows:

Corollary 6.4. *We also assume as in Corollary 6.3. Then one has* $\mathrm{index}(\Pi_1 \varrho(\phi_1)\Pi_1) = \mathrm{index}(\Pi_2 \varrho(\phi_2)\Pi_2)$.

Proof. It suffices to show $\gamma^* \varrho(\phi_1)\Pi_1 \sim \Pi_2 \varrho(\phi_2)\gamma^*$. Let φ_1 be a smooth function on M_1 such that $\mathrm{Supp}(\varphi_1) \subset M_1^+$ and there exists a compact set $K \subset M_1$ such that $\varphi_1 = \Pi_1$ on $M_1 \setminus K$. Set $\varphi_2(x) = \varphi_1(\gamma(x))$ for all $x \in M_2^+$ and $\varphi_2 = 0$ on M_2^-. Set $v_{\phi_j} = \varrho(\phi_j) - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_j \end{bmatrix}$. Then we have $\gamma^* v_{\phi_1}\Pi_1 \sim \gamma^* v_{\phi_1}\varphi_1$ and $\Pi_2 v_{\phi_2}\gamma^* \sim \varphi_2 v_{\phi_2}\gamma^*$. Thus, if $\gamma^* v_{\phi_1}\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2 v_{\phi_2}\gamma^*$, then we obtain

$$\gamma^* \varrho(\phi_1)\Pi_1 \sim \gamma^* v_{\phi_1}\varphi_1 + \gamma^* \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_1 \end{bmatrix} \Pi_1 \sim \varphi_2 v_{\phi_2}\gamma^* + \Pi_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} \gamma^* \sim \Pi_2 \varrho(\phi_2)\gamma^*.$$

We shall show $\gamma^* v_{\phi_1}\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2 v_{\phi_2}\gamma^*$. In fact, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^* v_{\phi_1}\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 v_{\phi_2}\gamma^* \\
&= \gamma^*(D_1 + \epsilon_1)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 - 1 & -c(grad(\phi_1))^- \\ 0 & \phi_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 (D_2 + \epsilon_2)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_2 - 1 & -c(grad(\phi_2))^- \\ 0 & \phi_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma^* \\
&= \{\gamma^*(D_1 + \epsilon_1)^{-1}\varphi_1 - \varphi_2(D_2 + \epsilon_2)^{-1}\gamma^*\} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 - 1 & -c(grad(\phi_1))^- \\ 0 & \phi_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&\sim \{\gamma^*\varphi_1(D_1 + \epsilon_1)^{-1} - (D_2 + \epsilon_2)^{-1}\gamma^*\varphi_1\} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 - 1 & -c(grad(\phi_1))^- \\ 0 & \phi_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (D_2 + \epsilon_2)^{-1}\{(D_2 + \epsilon_2)\gamma^*\varphi_1 - \gamma^*\varphi_1(D_1 + \epsilon_1)\}(D_1 + \epsilon_1)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 - 1 & -c(grad(\phi_1))^- \\ 0 & \phi_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sim (D_2+\epsilon_2)^{-1}\gamma^*[D_1,\varphi_1](D_1+\epsilon_1)^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}\phi_1-1 & -c(grad(\phi_1))^- \\ 0 & \phi_1-1\end{bmatrix}$$
$$\sim 0$$

since $grad(\varphi_1)$ has a compact support and $[D_1,\varphi_1]=c(grad(\varphi_1))$. Thus, we get $\gamma^* u_{\phi_1}\Pi_1 \sim \Pi_2 u_{\phi_2}\gamma^*$. Therefore, we obtain $\mathrm{index}(\Pi_1 u_{\phi_1}\Pi_1)=\mathrm{index}(\Pi_2 u_{\phi_2}\Pi_2)$ by Lemma 6.2. □

Proof of Theorem 2.7, the general case. We assume $\phi \in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$. Firstly, let $a \in C^\infty([-1,1];[-1,1])$ satisfies

$$a(t)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } -1\le t\le -3/4\\ 0 & \text{if } -2/4\le t\le 2/4\\ 1 & \text{if } 3/4\le t\le 1\end{cases}.$$

Let $(-4\delta,4\delta)\times N$ be diffeomorphic to a tubular neighborhood of N in M satisfies

$$\sup_{(t,x),(s,y)\in[-3\delta,3\delta]\times N}|\phi(t,x)-\phi(s,y)|<\|\phi^{-1}\|^{-1}.$$

Set $\psi(t,x)=\phi(4\delta a(t),x)$ on $(-4\delta,4\delta)\times N$ and $\psi=\phi$ on $M\setminus(-4\delta,4\delta)\times N$. Then we obtain $\psi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$ and $\|\psi-\phi\|<\|\phi^{-1}\|^{-1}$. Thus $[0,1]\ni t\mapsto \psi_t=t\psi+(1-t)\phi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$ is continuous with the uniform norm. Therefore it suffices to show the case when $\phi\in GL_l(\mathscr{W}(M))$ satisfying $\phi(t,x)=\phi(0,x)$ on $(-2\delta,2\delta)\times N$. Due to Lemma 6.1, we may change a partition of M to $(M^+\cup([-\delta,0]\times N), M^-\setminus((-\delta,0]\times N),\{-\delta\}\times N)$ without changing $\mathrm{index}(\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi)$. Then, due to Corollary 6.3, we may change $M^+\cup([-\delta,0]\times N)$ to $[-\delta,\infty)\times N$ without changing $\mathrm{index}(\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi)$. Here, ϕ is equal to $\phi(0,x)$ on $[-\delta,\infty)\times N$ and the metric on $[0,\infty)\times N$ is product. We denote this manifold by $M'=([-\delta,\infty)\times N)\cup(M^-\setminus((-\delta,0]\times N))$. M' is partitioned by $([-\delta,\infty)\times N, M^-\setminus((-\delta,0]\times N),\{-\delta\}\times N)$. We apply a similar argument to M', we may change M' to a product $\mathbb{R}\times N$ without changing $\mathrm{index}(\Pi u_{\chi,\phi}\Pi)$. Now we have changed M to $\mathbb{R}\times N$. □

Proof of Corollary 2.8, the general case. Similar. □

Acknowledgments - The author would like to thank his advisor, Professor Hitoshi Moriyoshi for helpful comments.

References

[1] Saad Baaj and Pierre Julg. Théorie bivariante de Kasparov et opérateurs non bornés dans les C^*-modules hilbertiens. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 296(21):875–878, 1983.

[2] Paul Baum and Ronald G. Douglas. K homology and index theory. In *Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980)*, volume 38 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 117–173. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.

[3] Paul Baum and Ronald G. Douglas. Toeplitz operators and Poincaré duality. In *Toeplitz centennial (Tel Aviv, 1981)*, volume 4 of *Operator Theory: Adv. Appl.*, pages 137–166. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1982.

[4] A. Connes. A survey of foliations and operator algebras. In *Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980)*, volume 38 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 521–628. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.

[5] A. Connes and G. Skandalis. The longitudinal index theorem for foliations. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 20(6):1139–1183, 1984.

[6] Alain Connes. Noncommutative differential geometry. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (62):257–360, 1985.

[7] Joachim Cuntz. Generalized homomorphisms between C^*-algebras and KK-theory. In *Dynamics and processes (Bielefeld, 1981)*, volume 1031 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 31–45. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
[8] Nigel Higson. On the relative k-homology theory of Baum and Douglas. preprint, 1990.
[9] Nigel Higson. A note on the cobordism invariance of the index. *Topology*, 30(3):439–443, 1991.
[10] Nigel Higson and John Roe. *Analytic K-homology*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.
[11] Hitoshi Moriyoshi and Toshikazu Natsume. *Operator algebras and geometry*, volume 237 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. Translated from the 2001 Japanese original by the authors.
[12] Hitoshi Moriyoshi and Paolo Piazza. Eta cocycles, relative pairings and the Godbillon-Vey index theorem. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 22(6):1708–1813, 2012.
[13] John Roe. Partitioning noncompact manifolds and the dual Toeplitz problem. In *Operator algebras and applications, Vol. 1*, volume 135 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 187–228. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
[14] John Roe. Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(497):x+90, 1993.
[15] John Roe. *Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods*, volume 395 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*. Longman, Harlow, second edition, 1998.
[16] Tatsuki Seto. Toeplitz operators and the Roe-Higson type index theorem in Riemannian surfaces, 2014. arXiv:1405.4346.
[17] J. A. C. Weideman. Computing the Hilbert transform on the real line. *Math. Comp.*, 64(210):745–762, 1995.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusaku, Nagoya, Japan

E-mail address: m11034y@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp